

---

## National Capital Area Chapter

United States Association for  
Energy Economics

May 2002

[www.ncac-usaee.org](http://www.ncac-usaee.org)

---

# news

## *California's Efforts to Address Electricity Demand and New Markets—Experience to Date*

### Our Next Luncheon at Library of Congress

---

**WHEN:** Noon, Friday, May 17

**WHERE:** 6<sup>th</sup> Floor, Madison Building—Montpelier Dining Room  
Library of Congress (enter from Independence Avenue)  
1<sup>st</sup> Street & Independence Avenue, SE, Washington, DC

**SPEAKER:** Terry Surles, Director—Technology Systems Division,  
California Energy Commission

While electricity deregulation offers many benefits to consumers, the power market does not necessarily guarantee a balanced energy portfolio or technologies that do not hold near-term market potential. California recognized this deficiency and in 1996 established a new policy (and public goods charge) to support public interest energy research (PIER), renewable market support and energy efficiency market support. In 2000, the PIER Program was renewed, with funding authorized through 2011 at \$62.5 million per year. The objectives of the PIER Program are to:

- improve energy cost/value;
- improve environment, health and safety;
- improve electricity quality/reliability/sufficiency;
- strengthen the economy; and
- provide consumer choice.

Our speaker this month, Terry Surles, wears two hats—he is Director of the Technology Systems Division, as well as Director of the PIER Program. In these capacities he is the “Chief Technology Officer” at the California Energy Commission (CEC). His responsibilities include:

- Maintaining and developing mid-term and long-term programs that contribute to long-term solutions to California's energy problems;
- Working with California industries to reduce their electricity usage while maintaining their competitiveness; and
- Developing environmental and safety information, measurement tools, and mitigation technologies that will help California meet its electricity needs with minimal impact on the environment.

Terry will provide an overview of the PIER Program, its accomplishments and lessons learned. He will also discuss the direction of the Program in light of recent events in California. Finally, he will address how the PIER Program accomplishes its objectives without interfering in the workings of the electric market.

Join us on **Friday, May 17** at 12:00 PM for networking, with the lunch-line forming promptly at 12:30 and the presentation beginning at 1 PM so we are done by 2 PM. **COST:** \$15.00 for members and \$20.00 for non-members—guests are always welcome. Make checks payable to NCAC-USAAE.

**RSVP:** By noon, TUESDAY, May 14, by phone to Pam Tomski on 202-861-2841. Cancellations after noon Thursday will be billed.

### *April Meeting Highlights*

**SPEAKER: Thomas Leckey, Energy Information Administration**

#### ***Transmission Reliability Limits: The Unseen Effect on Costs and Competition***

According to Leckey, the East Coast blackout in 1965 led to increased reliability efforts, among them interregional line load limits. Over the next thirty years, in a network of regulated suppliers and perfectly integrated monopolies, these limits likely made sense and contributed to higher reliability. But now, with the emergence of wholesale markets, regional transmission organizations and independent system operators, economists are increasingly interested in the opportunities lost from pursuing reliability to such an extent.

In a paper in which he shared authorship\* it is maintained that these contingencies tend to reduce the range and magnitude of possible economic electricity transactions. In addition to higher costs, there is the implication that insulated markets may have a greater possibility of market power.

The Eastern Interconnect was modelled, based on the projections in the NERC 2000 Summer Base Case. The Eastern Interconnect includes everything east of the Rockies except Texas, including Canada. Solving such a large, nonlinear model required an iterative approach, first finding the least cost power flow solution, then using linear programming techniques to adjust for line/voltage violations, and then repeating these steps until a valid solution was reached. The solution represents a one-hour period, with electricity flows and nodal prices.

The model was solved for two cases, one with line limits in place, and the other, with power allowed to flow freely among regions. For a peak one-hour period, costs were reduced from \$11 million in the restricted case to \$10.6 million in the unrestricted case, or 3.6 percent. That was a one-hour cost reduction of \$0.4 million. For a shoulder period, costs went from \$7.6 million to \$7.1 million, saving \$0.5 million per hour or 6.7 percent, Leckey said.

The unrestricted case reduced cost disparities for locational marginal prices (LMPs). While there were large variations in costs in the restricted case, in the unrestricted case, approximately 93% of regions' costs were within a narrow range. Necessarily, some areas might see higher costs, either from congestion or from increased line losses. Some of that may be offset by higher revenues.

Leckey then reviewed some hypothetical examples that illustrated the implications for competitive markets. Florida, for example, has higher-cost generators and less ability to import. As a result, there is less of an impact moving from the restricted to the unrestricted case than in an area such as New England. In New England, removing administrative line restrictions would lower costs by 24 percent in the model, though removing physical limits on transmission would reduce costs by 37%, as generation within the region declines about 9 percent while imports increase. For New York City, the unrestricted case would reduce marginal cost within the city by about 9 percent, though costs would remain high relative to other parts of the state because of high loads and limited local generation. Because access through the existing interfaces is constrained, the area would remain vulnerable to market power, said Leckey.

*\* Some Costs of Reliability Limits, Thomas Leckey and Douglas Hale of EIA and Thomas Overbye of the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.*

## [Sixth Annual Washington Energy Policy Conference](#)

### **New Developments in Electric Market Restructuring**

**Tuesday, May 21, SAIS Kenney Auditorium, 1740 Massachusetts Ave. NW**

Don't forget to sign up for NCAC's annual energy policy conference. It's less than a month away! For program information, visit our web site at [www.ncac-usaee.com](http://www.ncac-usaee.com). Please fill out the registration form below.

#### **New Developments in Electric Market Restructuring**

To register: Please mail the form below with your check (payable to NCAC/USAEE) to:  
Ms. Pamela Tomski, Treasurer, NCAC, c/o Wampler Associates, 1130 17th St. NW, Ste. 312,  
Washington, DC 20036. To register by e-mail: [ptomski@erols.com](mailto:ptomski@erols.com)

Please accept my registration for the Washington Energy Policy Conference on May 21, 2002

Name \_\_\_\_\_ Title \_\_\_\_\_

Company/Organization \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Phone \_\_\_\_\_ Fax \_\_\_\_\_ E-mail \_\_\_\_\_

Please check the registration appropriate for you:

NCAC/USAEE Member \$75 \_\_\_\_\_

New Member NCAC/USAEE \$95 \_\_\_\_\_

Non-Member \$110 \_\_\_\_\_

## 2001 OFFICERS and COUNCIL MEMBERS

NAME	PHONE #	FAX #	EMAIL ADDRESS
David South <b>President</b>	202-785-8833 Energy Resources International, Inc.	202-785-8834	South@energyresources.com
Sarah McKinley <b>Vice President</b>	202-208-2016 Federal Energy Regulatory Comm.		Sarah.McKinley@ferc.gov
Ron Planting <b>Secretary</b>	202-682-8509 American Petroleum Institute	202-962-4730	Planting@api.org
Pam Tomski <b>Treasurer</b>	202-861-2841 Wampler Associates	202-861-2840	Ptomski@erols.com
Sara Banaszak	202-586-2066 Energy Information Administration	202-586-4062	Sara.banaszak@hq.doe.gov
Stephanie Battles	202-586-7237 Energy Information Administration	202-586-0018	Stephanie.battles@eia.doe.gov
Guy Caruso	202-775-3209 Center for Strategic Int'l. Studies	202-775-3199	Gcaruso@csis.org
Leslie Coleman	202-463-9780 National Mining Association	202-833-9636	Lcoleman@nma.org
John Felmy	202-682-8530 American Petroleum Institute	202-682-8408	Felmyj@api.org
John Jimison*	202-955-6067 Berliner, Candon & Jimison	202-822-0109	Johnj@bcjlaw.com
Fred Joutz	202-994-4899 George Washington University	202-994-6147	fjoutz@attglobal.net
Wil Kohl	202-663-5725 Director, IEEP, SAIS	202-663-5769	Wkohl@mail.jhuwash.edu
Shirley Neff	202-224-6689 U.S. Senate Energy Committee	202-224-9026	Shirley_neff@energy.senate.gov
Len Levine	202-965-2788 Consultant		Len.LevineGR@verizon.net
Carol Rendall	301-229-8978 Energy Consultant	301-229-8978	Carolrendall@prodigy.net
Cyndy Wilson	703-698-7049 Catalytics, Inc.	703-698-5404	Catalytics@aol.com

- **Immediate Past President**